Kessler, Ellen

From: alanlorenz@centurytel.net
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2009 6:49 AM
To: Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC
Cc: Marlin.Beekman@dot.wi.gov

Subject: Macro Corridor Study Hampton-La Crosse Lline
Attachments: This memo relates to the Macro Study (July20).doc

Hi Stephanie,

Attached is a copy of our letter to you expressing our concerns about the CapX2020 alternate along the Great River Road National Scenic Byway in Buffalo, Trempealeau and La Crosse Counties in Wisconsin.

I will send you our official letter by U.S. mail today but wanted to be sure you received this before the July $25 \, \text{th}$ deadline.

Al Lorenz, Chair Wisconsin Mississippi River Parkway Commission

1

July 23, 2009

To: Stephanie Strength, Environmental Protection Specialist United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities Service Engineering and Environmental Staff 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Stop 1571 Washington, D.C. 20250-1571

From: Wisconsin Mississippi River Parkway Commission

Subject: Macro-Corridor Study (May 2009)

CapX2020 Hampton – Rochester – La Crosse 345 kV Transmission System Improvement Project

S-006-002

This letter relates to the subject Macro-Corridor Study – specifically regarding the alternate transmission line corridor routings under study in Wisconsin between Alma and La Crosse. This memo is authored by the Wisconsin Mississippi River Parkway Commission (WIMRPC) to elaborate the concerns of the Wisconsin Great River Road National Scenic Byway (WIGRRNSB) that traverses the scenic Mississippi Valley between the aforementioned cities. Specifically the concerns are the perceived negative impacts of locating a high tower 345 kV transmission line within its view shed and /or encroaching on the various intrinsic Byway features along the route.

The following background and definitions should be helpful towards understanding and appreciating the WIGRRNSB concerns:

- Broad interest in the concept of a scenic parkway called the Great River Road (GRR) following the Mississippi River corridor from its source at Lake Itasca in Minnesota to the Gulf of Mexico resulted in all ten Mississippi River States establishing the Mississippi River Parkway Planning Commission in 1938. Congress began authorizing funding for advancing the parkway concept in the 1940 and 1950s. In Wisconsin STH 35 was the location of the GRR for most of its 250 mile length. The year 2009 marked the 70" anniversary of the GRR.
- Scenic Easements along the WIGRR were purchased by the State of Wisconsin in the 1950's to assist in
 preserving for present and future generations the unique natural scenic beauty created by the towering bluffs on one
 side of the route and the majestic Mississippi River on the other. It is this very unique beauty that moves many
 travelers of the ten state GRR route to rate the Wisconsin GRR as their Number 1 choice.
- The Wisconsin Mississippi River Parkway Commission was legislatively established in 1961 with the following stated purpose(s) as expressed (in part) in the current WI Statute 14.85: "assist in coordinating the development and preservation of the great river road in Wisconsin and its embellishments, such as scenic easements, roadside parks and scenic overlooks..."assist in promoting as an attractive travel designation the great river road in Wisconsin and its unique historical, cultural, aesthetic and recreational features along the route..."The WIMRPC continues to fulfill their statutory responsibility to the best of their ability.
- WIGRR received the prestigious designation of National Scenic Byway in the year 2000 upon the request of the
 State of Wisconsin and following its designation as a State Scenic Byway. This designation came after careful review
 and concurrence by a Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) National Review Committee. The intrinsic qualities of
 a NSB include: scenic, historical, recreational, natural, archeological and cultural. The WIMRPC is the designated
 "byway organization" e.g. to serve as the front line contact and overall coordinator of various activities involved in the
 promotion, preservation and development of the Byway.
- The Partnership Statement co-signed in June 2009 by the WIMRPC and U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (Winona) states in part the following: "WHEREAS the view shed of the WIGRRNSB corridor ducluse the Mississippi River and backwaters (e.g. the Upper Missispip) River Widifile and Fish Refuge) on one side and the towering bluffs on the other"... and "WHEREAS the U.S. Fish & Wildlife brochure entitled Byways to America's Wildest Places states in part ... National wildlife refuges contribute to the intrinsic qualities that quality a road as on America's Byways ...

local residents and visitors benefit from national wildlife refuges being included in Byway corridor management plans and projects..."

S-006-001

The long standing existence of the Wisconsin Great River Road and its subsequent prestigious designation as a National Scenic Byway identifies the WIGRRNSB as a "national resource". A national resource brings with it a special responsibility to all involved to advance the WIGRRNSB vision carefully and to preserve its unique intrinsic qualities for future generations.

The WIGRRNSB pleads that the CapX 2020 initiative will embrace this responsibility including fulfilling the RUS NEPA requirements to "consider a broad range of environmental issues as well as potential impacts ..." (pg 1-3 0f the Macro Corridor Study Report) relative to the afore-listed intrinsic qualities of the WIGRRNSB.

Sincerely,

Alan Lorenz, Chair Wisconsin Mississippi River Parkway Commission W 4927 Hoeth Street La Crosse, WI 54601

ce: U.S. Senators Kohl, Feingold
U.S. Representative Kind
Governor Doyle
State Legislators
F&WL
COE
County Board Chairs of Buffalo/Trempealeau/La Crosse
Local Elected Officials

S-006-001

Your comment has been noted. Potential impacts to the aesthetic quality of the areas surrounding the transmission line will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available on the RUS website at: http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be solicited after its publication.

S-006-002

Your comment has been noted. The criteria used to route the transmission line is described in the Macro Corridor Study which is available on the RUS website at:

http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. These criteria and routing process will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The project is still in the development and planning stages and the utilities have not yet permitted a route for the transmission line.

Kessler, Ellen

Sen.Sharon.Erickson.Ropes@senate.mn Thursday, July 23, 2009 12:09 PM From: Sent: Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC To:

Subject: USDA/RUS EIS for the CapX2020 La Crosse Project

DOC026.PDF Attachments:

Dear Ms. Strength:

I have attached a letter for you to consider regarding the USDA/RUS EIS for the CapX2020 La Crosse Project. I have also sent it in the mail.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Sharon Erickson Ropes

Senator Sharon Erickson Ropes Houston, Fillmore & Winona Counties G24 State Capitol 75. Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd St. Paul, MN 55155 651-296-5649

1



Senate

State of Minnesota

SENATOR SHARON ERICKSON ROPES

Senate District 31 (Fillmore, Houston and Winona Counties) 75 Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd. St. Paul, MN 55155-1606 St. Paul, MN 55155-1609 sen.sharon.erickson.ropes@senate.mn

July 23, 2009

Stephanie A. Strength Environmental Protection Specialist/RD 1400 Independence Ave. SW Room # 2244 Washington, DC 20250-1571 stephanie.strength@usda.gov

Dear Ms. Strength:

I am writing you today to share my perspective on the USDA/RUS EIS for the CapX2020 La Crosse Project and ask that you take my comments into consideration as you go forward with your review process.

S-008-001

I have some concerns from an environmental and rural economic development perspective about the request made by Dairyland for Rural Utilities Services funding of the CapX2020 La Crosse Project. I believe that the United States Department of Agriculture should make an independent and detailed review of claims made by the project proponents in developing the environmental impact statement ("EIS") for the proposed project.

S-008-002

Also, I am concerned that any alternative route for the CapX2020 La Crosse Project could widen existing right of way through the Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife Refuge, affect migratory birds using the Mississippi Flyway, and negatively affect resources in the Mississippi River corridor. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has expressed concerns regarding impacts on the National Wildlife Refuge in a recent letter to the CapX2020 proponents dated May 9, 2009 and I would encourage yo u to review this letter as I share many of their concerns.

S-008-003

S-008-004

Furthermore, on behalf of constituents and to protect federal interests in na tural resources, I respectfully request that the Rural Utilities Services ("RUS") provide an independent review of whether the CapX2020 La Crosse Project needs have been appropriately defined by project proponents and whether there might be alternatives to meet energy needs that would not impact the Mississippi River corridor bluff lands, scenic roads and National Wildlife Refuge. I believe there is merit in reviewing possible alternatives to the CapX2020 La Crosse Project and would encourage your organization to consider the following potential options in your review:

- Existing, planned and potential local generation in the Rochester and La Crosse areas to meet local reliability needs;
- Existing, newly built, and planned alternative transmission in both Minnesota and Wisconsin that can meet community reliability needs without crossing the Mississippi River and impacting federally protected resources; and
- Conservation, distributed generation and smart grid technology that: can address
 peak energy needs in the Rochester and La Crosse areas and a furth or examination
 of the current peak needs of the region.



COMMITTEES: Vice Chair, Agriculture and Veterans Policy and Budget Division; Higher Education Policy and Budget Division: Health and Human Services Budget Division; Health, Housing, and Family Security



But of Description of the Annual Ann

S-008-002

Your comment comment has been noted. Potential impacts to wildlife, vegetation, and wetlands will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

S-008-003

Your comment has been noted. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will describe, in detail, project purpose and need. The justification document which has been accepted by the RUS is the Alternative Evaluation study which is available at: http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm.

S-008-004

Your comment has been noted. The criteria used to route the transmission line is described in the Macro Corridor Study which is available on the RUS website at:

http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. These criteria and routing process will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The project is still in the development and planning stages and the utilities have not yet permitted a route for the transmission line.

S-008-005

Next, given the potential connection of the La Crosse Project with other CapX2020 projects extending into South Dakota and North Dakota, I would request that the EIS analyze the degree to which the La Crosse Project will permit transmission of coal and lignite coal from the Dakotas, along with associated air emissions and global warming impacts.

S-008-006

Finally, the question of USDA funding of the CapX2020 La Crosse Project raises concerns about the best ways to utilize scarce federal resources and support rural economic development. Although the amount of funding sought is not specifically stated in the Applicant's Alternatives Evaluation Study, if the RUS is being asked to finance Dairyland's 11 percent ownership interest in the La Crosse Project, the federal cost could be up to \$47 million in 2007 dollars.

S-008-007

In considering the "no-build" option, I believe the EIS should also consider the costs and local economic benefits of the La Crosse Project ultra high voltage transmission line in comparison to investments targeted to support community-based renewable energy development. It is possible that other federal infrastructure investments may have greater potential to provide local jobs and multiply benefits throughout rural economies.

I appreciate you taking my comments and concerns regarding the CapX2020 La Crosse Project into consideration as you prepare to conduct the oversight process.

Sincerely,

Sharon Erickson Ropes State Senator District 31

Tharm Enickson Roges

S-008-005

interconnected nature as well as to electricity's nature - it's generally difficult to identify a specific source of electricity on the grid. The proposed CapX2020 transmission lines will serve the region's expected growth and help begin to meet Minnesota's Renewable Energy Standard (RES), which requires utilities to deliver 25 percent of their electricity from renewable sources by 2025 (Xcel Energy is mandated to deliver 30 percent by 2020, with 25 percent from wind). Most of that energy comes from wind turbines.

Your comment has been noted. Due to the transmission grid's

Cumulative Impacts will be one of the topics addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

S-008-007

Your comment has been noted. Alternatives to the project will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Cummings, Matt

From: Lovejoy, Tom A - DNR [Tom.Lovejoy@Wisconsin.gov]

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 12:46 PM
To: Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC
Cc: Laatsch, Cheryl - DNR: Rineer, Ken - F

Cc: Laatsch, Cheryl - DNR; Rineer, Ken - PSC; Koslowsky, Shari - DNR; Kalvelage, Karen M -

DNR; Siebert, David R - DNR; Fannucchi, William - PSC

Subject: RE: Capx2020 Proposed Hampton-Rochester-La Crosse 345 kV Transmission System

Improvement Project

I forgot to fill-in the subject line. In case it wasn't clear e-mail below pertains to CapX2020 project

P Tom Lovejoy

Natural Resources Program Manager

Environmental Analysis West Central Region

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

(*) phone: (715) 839-3747 (*) fax: (715) 839-6076

(*) e-mail: tom.lovejoy@wisconsin.gov

From: Lovejoy, Tom A - DNR

Sent: Monday, June 08, 2009 11:14 AM

To: 'stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov'

Cc: Laatsch, Cheryl - DNR; Rineer, Ken - PSC; Koslowsky, Shari - DNR; Kalvelage, Karen M - DNR; Siebert, David R - DNR; Fannucchi, William - PSC

Subject:

<mailto:shari.koslowsky@wisconsin.gov>

This follows our discussion this morning about RUS's invitation to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) to attend upcoming agency and public scoping meetings as part of RUS's lead federal agency role for EIS development/NEPA compliance for this project.

As you know WDNR and Wisconsin Public Service Commission (PSC) will jointly be involved in a separate environmental review (EIS) process consistent with state regulations, including Wisconsin Environmental Policy Act (WEPA). It's not exactly clear to me if or how the separate NEPA and WEPA processes will be related but that's something for others to determine.

My main reasons for contacting you today are::

1. Let you know WDNR will attend RUS's June 23 agency scoping meeting. I'll be there and maybe one other. WDNR project manager is Cheryl Laatsch. She'll be on maternity leave for a few weeks, but her email address is listed above and her phone is (608) 264-8943. You should direct all communications through Cheryl. Other key members of WDNR's project review team will be Shari Koslowsky, Karen Kalvelage and me.

S-011-001

2. As we discussed today by phone, WDNR has had early project planning stage issue scoping discussions with CapX2020 utilities and PSC. In particular WDNR has identified two important areas of environmental concerns regarding possible project transmission line routing and substation expansion alternatives. Where the proposed transmission line may cross the Mississippi River and extend into Wisconsin would have a direct bearing on potential for impacts to:

-La Crosse Marsh (site of a Dairyland Power Cooperative existing substation)

-Van Loon State Wildlife Area (located in NW La Crosse County and potentially impacted by transmission line upgrades)

1

S-011-001

Your comment has been noted. The criteria used to route the transmission line is described in the Macro Corridor Study which is available on the RUS website at:

http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. These criteria and routing process will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The project is still in the development and planning stages and the utilities have not yet permitted a route for the transmission line.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available on the RUS website at: http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be solicited after its publication.

S-011-002

WDNR recently sent utilities and PSC letters describing these properties and their outstanding resource and/or public interest values. We also included mention of potential regulatory concerns. And we made recommendations to modify project alternatives now, early during project planning, in effort not only to avoid impacts to these sensitive areas but also to prevent potential regulatory conflicts later. I've attached copies of the letters sent. WDNR would appreciate your consideration of points made as part of RUS's NEPA issue scoping process.

P Tom Lovejoy Natural Resources Program Manager Environmental Analysis West Central Region Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
(*) phone: (715) 839-3747
(*) fax: (715) 839-6076
(*) e-mail: tom.lovejoy@wisconsin.qov

S-011-002

Your comment has been noted. Please refer to comment response S-011-001.

S-013-001 S-013-002

Safety rest areas

Mn/DOT will not permit the physical location of utility lines or structures to encroach.

The vegetation requirements remain in force at rest areas and may be of a more strict nature for aesthetic reasons.

Additional Factors

A Utility Permit from Mn/DOT is required for any line that would affect Mn/DOT right of way.

General placement for aerial lines is within the outer 5 feet of trunk highway right of way.

By Policy any utility placed within Mn/DOT trunk highway right of way by permit would be required to relocate at the owner's expense if future highway construction necessitated.

The entire Mn/DOT Utility Accommodation Policy is available at www.dot.state.mn.us/utility/files/pdf/appendix-b.pdf and needs to be adhered too.

For lines around rest areas contact the Safety Rest Area Program Manager at 651-366-4702.

For issues involving airports and their height clearances and restrictions contact Rick Braunig at 651-234-7230 or email at rick.braunig@dot.statc.mn.us.

Mn/DOT's main contact for Transmission Line Route Coordination is Stacy Kotch. I can be reached a 651-366-4635 or by email at Stacy Kotch@dot.state.mn.us.

Mn/DOT District contacts are:

District 1

WAYNE SCHEER (218) 725-2780

District 2A

STEPHEN FRISCO (218) 755-6553

District 2B

EARL HILL (218) 277-7964

District 3

TERRY HUMBERT (320) 223-6527 CLAUDIA DUMONT (320) 223-6530

District 4

JIM UTECHT (218) 846-7950 JODY MARTINSON (218) 846-7964

District 6

BOB HUTTON (507) 286-7595 PETER WASKIW (507) 286-7680

robert. hutton@dot, state, mr. , us peter. was kine """

District 7

JIM FOX (507) 831-8012 RICHARD "KENT" PURRIER (507) 304-6151

Page 2 of 3

S-013-001

Your comment has been noted. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be available on the RUS website at:

http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/eis.htm. Comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement will be solicited after its publication.

S-013-002

Your comment has been noted. Potential impacts to the aesthetic quality of the areas surrounding the transmission line will be addressed in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

S-013-003

Your list and tentative schedule of potential public transportation projects has been noted.

Kessler, Ellen

From: Robert.Hutton@dot.state.mn.us
Sent: Monday, July 27, 2009 2:43 PM
To: Strength, Stephanie - Washington, DC

Subject: Hampton-Rochester-La Crosse 345 kV Transmission System Improvement Project

Attachments: District 6 Draft ATIP 2010-2013 - FINAL FROM D6 for Bob.xls

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Stephenie,

I was at the Wanamingo agency scoping meeting on June 17, 2009 representing the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT), southeast District 6. During the presentation & question and comment time I asked if it might be beneficial for the project to be aware of Mn/DOT road , bridge, trail, and other potential multi-modal developments in the vicinity of your project limits.

While the following attached speadsheet of transportation projects is subject to change (and likely will), it may be helpful information to consider in the HVTL site selection process. Additionally the following list is more long term, than the attached list:

US 52 north to south
Interchange in Cannon Falls
Interchange at Hader CSAH 8/MN 57
Interchange at CSAH 9
Overpass at Zumbrota CSAH 68
Interchange northe Pine Island
Elk Run Interchange
possible 6 lane expansion from Pine Island to 75th Street NW Rochester

US 14 west to east 2 to 4 lane expansion Claremont to Dodge Center Interchange between Kasson and Byron Interchange Byron CSAH 5 2 to 4 lane expansion from Rochester east to Eyota

Bob Hutton Senior Planner MnDOT District 6 2900 48th Street NW Rochester, MN. 55901 507-286-7595 507-285-7279 FAX robert.hutton@dot.state.mn.us

1

						County	
Rte_Sys	Projnum	#Year	Agency	Description	Length	City Name	Program
TH 14	159-010-06	2010		GRADE & SURFACE -TH 52 TO OLMSTED CSAH 36 -	1.8	ROCHESTER OLMSTED	RC
			TER	ROCHESTER (CITY PORTION OF STATE PROJECT 5502-73 (TH 14)			
TH 14	5502-85AC7	2010	20000000	ROC 52 DESIGN BUILD - BEST VALUE (AC PAYBACK 7 OF 11)		ROCHESTER CLMSTED	MC
TH 52	2506-52PE1	2010	MNDOT	"MATIOS" ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT AND RIGHT- OF-WAY ACQUISITION AT U.S. 52 AND GOODHUE CSAH24 INTERCHANGE, CANNON FALLS, GOODHUE CNTY, MN		CANNON FAL GOODHUE	
190	8580-156	2010		"BP06" UNBONDED CONCRETE OVERLAY FROM 2.2 MI E TH 74 TO 0.5 MI WJCT TH 43 - EB LANES	14.2		RC
TH 56	2006-26	2010	MNIDOT	"BP08" WHITETOPPING, CSAH 34 TO 0.13 MI N S LIMITS OF WOONCORD	6.0	WEST CONO DODGE	RS
TH 58	2510-37	2010	MNIDOT	**BF08** REPLACE BR 5188 (NEWBR 25025) & BOX CULVERT 6160 OWER N FORK ZUMBRO RIVER, ZUMBROTA - BOND FUNDS		ZUMBROTA GOODHUE	BR
TH 14	5502-73	2010	MNIDOT	BITLMINOUS MILL & OVERLAY, MEDIAN REPLACEMENT& REPAIR - JUST THAS TO MARION RD (18TH AME SS), ROCHESTER - IMTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT AT INTERSECTION OF TH 14 & OLMSTED CSAH 36 (MARION ROAD); REVISE SIGNALS AT 3FD AME SE		ROCHESTER OLIVISTED	RS .
TH 14	7401-34	2010	MNIDOT	"'ELLA" FOUR-LANE ON NEWALIGNMENT, W STEELE COLINE EAST TO BR 74001 & BR 74002 - 2009 APPR ACT FUNDS (AC PROJECT, PAYBACK IN 2011)	14.2	STEELE	MC
TH 19	2502-23	2010	MNDOT	REPLACE BRIDGE 9487 OVER TH 52 IN CANNON FALLS AND EXTEND ACCELERATION AND DECELERATION LANES FOR INTERCHANGE (ON TH 52 SOUTH OF TH 19)	0.2	FREEBORN	I BR
TH 52	8826-40	2010	MNIDOT	ACCELERATION LANES, LENGTHEN TURN LANES & MEDIAN/ACCESS MODIFICATIONS, GOODHUE& OLMSTED CO	63.4	MULTICOU	NTSH
CSAH24	20-624-17	2011	DODGE	DODGE CSAH 24 - REPLACE BR 6628 0.1 MI N OF DODGE CSAH 22	0.2	DODGE	BR
CSAH22	55-622-49	2011		CLMSTED CSAH22 - MILL & OVERLAY, TH 52 TO TH 63	1.7	ROCHESTER OLMSTED	PS
CSAH 17	85-617-22	2011		REGRADING & SURFACING - WINONA CSAH 17, TH 43 TO VALLEY VIEW DR	1.1	ANOINN	RC
MUN	159-156-01	2011		20TH ST 9W, OLMSTED CO RD 125 (MAYOWOOD RD) TO TH 63 - GRADE & SURFACE	1.1	ROCHESTER CLIMSTED	RC
PED/BIKE	236-090-01	2011	LA CRESCEN T	WAGON WHEEL TRAIL, PHASE 1	1.0	LA CRESCENHOUSTON	EN
190	8580-152	2011	,	UNBONDED CONCRETE OVERLAY - 0.5 M W OF W JCT TH 43 TO 0.8 M W TH 76 - EB LANES - SCF - \$8,175,148	8.3		RC
TH 76	2808-18	2012	MNIDOT	""ELLA" MED BIT OVERLAY, TH 16 (HOUSTON) TO I- 90	13.7		RS
TH 248	8511-09	2012		RECLAMATION, MAIN ST (ALTURA) TO TH61	11.3	ALTURA WINONA	RD
190	8580-149	2013	MNDOT	"BR06" "ELLA" DRESBACH BR9320 OVER MISSISSIPPI RIVER - BRIDGE REHABILITATION - BOND FUNDS	0.3	ANONIN	BR